



# THE CITY OF POUGHKEEPSIE NEW YORK

## SPECIAL COMMON COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

---

Thursday, December 19, 2013 6:30 p.m.

City Hall

---

### I. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

ROLL CALL

ALL PRESENT

### II. READING OF ITEMS by the City Chamberlain of any resolutions not listed on the printed agenda.

NONE

### III. MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS:

1. **FROM CHAIRMAN MALLORY**, Resolution R13-92, adopting the 2014 Budget with amendments.

1. **A motion was made by Councilmember Solomon and seconded by Councilmember Boyd to receive and print.**

**Chairman Mallory:** I've shared what the amendments could bring to the Council and to the City.

**Councilmember Rich:** Mr. Chairman, I thought it might be an additional document, but in case it isn't, I'd like to make a change to the proposed document of changes by the Council and add one. I would like to add involves sales tax revenue from Dutchess County. I believe it's in the General Fund, page 27, 1120 Sales Tax Distribution by County. I'd like to amend that to include an additional \$152,000 because of an announcement by the County that we're getting that additional money next year. If I have a second, we're on our way.

**Councilmember Rich** made a motion to amend the resolution to add the amendment, **Councilmember Boyd** seconded the motion.

**Councilmember Rich:** For those that haven't been working on this the last couple of days, the County notified us, and it's not really good news for most people, but it helps us...our budget. They're going to put a sales tax on fuel (gas, oil and even wood) and since this is a County sales tax, your bills for these fuels will be going up. This will generate a lot of money for the County, and they can give some of that to the various municipalities. Our share of this new sales tax revenue amounts to a little over \$152,000. We just found out about this recently and was therefore, not in any of our budget discussions. Now that we know about it, we will be putting it in the budget which will decrease the property tax increase slightly due to this \$152,000. Thank you.

**Chairman Mallory:** Just to be clear. The tax levy in itself which was proposed on the amended budget level was 4.42; the Mayor's was at 3.95. With this additional \$152,000 the amended budget will have a tax levy of 3.56 (it will be under the Mayor's).

**Councilmember Johnson:** I had a briefing with the County Executive. He gave me a chart which lists all the towns, villages and the two cities. It's called, "Projected Impact." It's a projected impact of repeal of the exemption of sales tax on energy, 2015. It has a note that says, "The full market value estimates that appear on the January, 2013 tax rolls are based on 2012 assessed rolls." Hopefully, none of you were here at the Tax Lien Sale, to see all the people that didn't pay their taxes. It also notes that...assumes that, "The repeal of the exemption is only the growth realized from 2012 through 2014 and there is no negative growth." This [information] was based on the corrected 2010 Census population figures. A lot of stuff in the budget right now is based on projections. I feel the Comptroller once warned us against balancing the budget on projections. It's like balancing your household bills thinking *maybe* Grandma will give you \$200...or Grandpa. How can you balance your bills thinking that this might happen as opposed to realistic numbers? That's the problem I have with this. We already have the projected \$1.4 million for the parking meter at \$2.00 an hour, assuming that there's going to be all of these people that's going to be parking for \$2.00 an hour in Downtown Market Street, down by the river at \$1.4 million. That's not realistic to me – that's a projection, a "guesstimate." This too, is a "guesstimate." He has to balance his budget on sales tax that starts in March. He doesn't know how much he's going to receive or what the distribution will be for the different towns and villages. This budget being presented tonight, the amended budget, doesn't include the shortfall of the Sanitation User fee. So, Mayor Tkazyik, is this considered an unbalanced budget?

**Mayor Tkazyik:** So, in relation to the utility tax, growth and sales tax, we confirmed with the County budget office said that is a conservative number (\$152,000) that the City could anticipate in growth, sales tax revenue in relation to Sanitation User fee, yes, there is a shortfall in relation to that enterprise fund of the budget.

**Councilmember Johnson:** The third question that you didn't answer was, "The amended budget tonight...is it balanced or unbalanced?"

**Mayor Tkazyik:** If there is a shortfall, it would be unbalanced.

**Councilmember Johnson:** Thank you. We've had snowfalls back-to-back. The City doesn't have money. Now, we have to pay overtime. Remember, our men were working around the clock. I would think anything that says, "projected," that \$152,000 should probably be reserved, because we don't know the budget...they're waiting for figures to come in to see how much we are short with the Sanitation User fee. Nobody knows where that money is going to come from to foot that bill. Now, if a truck breaks down and we have some other type of situation for our plows, do we have money for repairs? Equipment? No. So, why would we take money that's being projected, and use it to only bring the tax down to 3.56%? I'll get into certain things concerning this budget, later.

Amendments to R13-92						
			Yes/Aye	No/Nay	Abstain	Absent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accepted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Tabled	Councilmember Herman	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Rich	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Perry	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Johnson	Voter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Parise	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Boyd	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Solomon	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Chairman Mallory	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**RESOLUTION  
(R-13-92)**

**INTRODUCED BY COUNCILMEMBER SOLOMON**

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to the Administrative Code of the City of Poughkeepsie, estimates of budget requirements for the year 2014 have been certified to the Mayor, City Administrator and the Commissioner of Finance by the various departments, officers, agencies and boards of the City of Poughkeepsie, and

**WHEREAS**, the Mayor with the help of the City Administrator and the Commissioner of Finance did review the aforementioned estimates with the various departments, officers, agencies and boards, and

**WHEREAS**, the Mayor did prepare a proposed budget for the year 2014 based on these aforementioned reviews, which proposed budget with the Mayor's budget message was submitted to the Common Council on September 16, 2013; and

**WHEREAS**, subsequent to a notice of public hearing being published in the official newspaper in compliance with provisions of the City Administrative Code, a public hearing was duly held on November 18, 2013; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT**

**RESOLVED**, that the 2014 preliminary budget amended by the changes set forth on the annexed schedules A & B be and hereby is adopted and declared to be the budget of the City of Poughkeepsie for the year 2014, and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that the amounts of said budget appropriations, estimated revenues, and contributions from fund balances, be and are hereby appropriated as therein specified in accordance with the provision of the Charter and Administrative Code of the City of Poughkeepsie and General and Special laws of the State of New York applicable to said City, and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that the aforementioned general ad valorem taxes required to be raised for such budget purposes would need a homestead tax rate of \$9.88 per one thousand dollars and a non-homestead tax rate of \$12.83 of assessed valuation upon all taxable property of the City of Poughkeepsie according to the valuation of the assessment roll for the current year, and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that the required water rate for taxable and nontaxable properties is established at \$3.45 per 100 cubic feet, and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that the required sewer rate for taxable and nontaxable properties is established at \$3.00 per 100 cubic feet, and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that pursuant to Local Law 3 of 2012 the required sanitation rate for eligible taxable and nontaxable parcels is established as follows:

Single family residence: \$25.50 a month

Two family residence: \$51.00 a month

Three family residence: \$76.50 a month

4 yard dumpster \$170 a month

6 yard dumpster \$240 a month

8 yard dumpster \$320 a month

**RESOLVED**, in accordance with the Charter and Administrative Code of the City of Poughkeepsie and on or before the date therein specified, a warrant shall be issued to the Commissioner of Finance as City Treasurer, to collect such taxes in accordance with the provisions of the Charter and Administrative Code of the City of Poughkeepsie applicable thereto, with penalties and interest therein provided.

**SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER BOYD**

R13-92 Amended			Yes/Aye	No/Nay	Abstain	Absent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accepted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Tabled	Councilmember Herman	Voter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Rich	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Perry	Voter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Johnson	Voter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Parise	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Boyd	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Solomon	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Chairman Mallory	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Councilmember Boyd:** I did not support to raise the tax cap. However, looking at the two budget proposals that we have before us tonight is one that has a lesser tax cap than the other. The one that had the lesser tax cap was not proposed by the Mayor – it was proposed by the Common Council. I feel that the parking meters as a 90 day trial basis was a resolution that the Common Council had passed, and yet, was never happened by the Administration. Our Common Council could’ve been offered other alternatives to be considered to meet the tax cap. The parking meters were just installed and to date, we really have no idea what kind of revenue we are earning. I feel the Mayor and the current Administration has made an unrealistic projection. The User Fee doesn’t need to be increased because of our revenue from recyclables. We still have no idea (to date) what that figure is, as well as the properties that were auctioned off on December 11<sup>th</sup>. We, the Common Council, still have no idea where that leaves the City and the properties of the City and the outcome of both of the situations. The Common Council has tried to adjust the budget that was unrealistic.

**Councilmember Herman:** During the budget process I reached across the aisle and had many meetings with Councilmember Mallory. I’ve had ideas that I spoke to Councilmember Mallory about, which I thought, were good ideas. And all I looked for was answers from the Executive branch on, can we do it, or can’t we do it? But, as usual, my emails have gone unanswered. Therefore, it wasn’t even entertained. I’ve got to echo what Councilmembers Johnson and Boyd are saying, that if you’re saying you’re going to get \$1.4 million – it’s unrealistic in that being part of a budget. I don’t know how we can go forward and do that. For the past 2 terms, I’ve been involved in 3 budgets, which were balanced budgets, but you get a \$14 million deficit from the State, and obviously, they’re not balanced budgets. After the Comptroller came out with his findings, we had 30-45 days to figure out what kind of protocols we were going to put in place, so we didn’t come up with these shortfalls again. I’ve never seen them. So, the budget is unrealistic. I will not be in favor of the budget. I can’t put my stamp on it as an outgoing Councilmember to the residents of the City. Next year, you’ll be here robbing Peter to pay Paul to try to get the budget intact, so there’s a \$600,000 shortfall in Sanitation, which I feel that’s been failed. I think there are other avenues that we could’ve pursued, but I wasn’t privy to being part of the process, so I’m going to go on record to say that I’m going to be against this budget. I’m not going to put my time

stamp on it because I was here to serve the public, to serve my constituents which I felt I've done in a great manner. I've tended to their concerns, and when you're not getting information back from City Hall to answer their questions, it's kind of a sad state of affairs. So, on record, I'm going to be a "No," for the budget. There are a couple of other things that were added that I'm against, and my colleagues know why I'm against them. It's just been another kickin' the can down the road to see wherever it lands, so, I'm going to be a "No."

**Councilmember Johnson:**

Statement from Councilwoman Gwen Johnson for Thursday, December 19, 2013 Common Council meeting to be submitted into the minutes.

I cannot in good conscience support, either budget... the Mayor John Tkazyik or Chairman Bob Mallory budgets.

I cannot support the Mayor's budget because of his unrealistic 1.4 Million proposed parking meter pilot projections to balance the budget. Implementation of the \$2.00 an hour parking meters downtown and on the waterfront will not only disenfranchise the poor, but also hurt the mom and pop stores, waterfront for family reunions, family cook outs and attendance at our local festivities.

But these parking meters will further emasculate and devastate any change of our local businesses from surviving in this already tough economy by losing their valuable customers that have kept them afloat.

Chairman Mallory has amended his 2014 budget to merely reduce the parking meters by 50 cents.

Next, the Mayor wants to increase the sanitation user fees by \$13.50 for all family residential properties. That means that we will go from \$25.50 per month to \$39.00 per month this is outrageous. That we will penalize the property owners who paid because of those who are delinquent

He is also projecting in his budget an increase in the water and sewer bill will the new installation of his new water meters, **that I did not support** of \$ 529,598.00 increased in revenues coupled with the new water rates that will also increase in his 2014 budget from 3.00 unit to 3.45 a unit.

Chairman Mallory amended budget does not even address the shortfall situation; he has decided to let it roll over to the first quarter in 2014 to see what the final tally will be.

Thus presenting an unbalanced 2014 budget.

Both the Mayor and the Chairman Mallory are going over the tax levy... the Mayor stating 3.95% and Chair Mallory states 4.42% in his amended 2014 budget.

This again is very irresponsible for the both of them to have not tried to find \$ 464,000.00 to stay below the 1.6% tax cap.

In the 2013 budget, I found with my Majority Leader Nina Boyd, CSEA Bruce Doorhis and Joe Rich in cuts well over \$400,000.00.

We founded \$272,000. just to re-instate four positions and added about \$50,000.00 to the salary to fund the City Administrator position, a new girls summer youth program, two summer temporary workers for recreation and reinstated Council budget lines etc.

## Official Minutes of the Special Common Council Meeting of December 19, 2013

Staying below the new tax cap would make businesses and property owners eligible for a tax credit funded by the state equal to the growth in property taxes! This would mean that homeowners would see no tax increase over 2 years, an estimated annual savings of \$354 for nearly 2.8 million property tax payers.

Business groups supported the proposal recommending \$1 billion in property tax relief and \$1 billion in business tax cuts and lowering taxes for upstate manufacturers.

The New York State Tax Relief Commission appointed by Gov. Andrew Cuomo and endorsed by him further recommends tying property taxes to Household incomes called the circuit breaker. Which unions and progressive groups have sought for years. This year 98% of school districts and 77% of local governments stayed under the 2% levy cap. For 2014, the tax cap is set at 1.6% based on the current inflation rate. The cap can be overridden with 60% vote of a governing body as was done by the Mayor and Chair Mallory (not supposed by me, councilmember Boyd and Ann Perry). and for the schools a 60% of the public vote in May.

The two-year property tax rebate for homeowners would be available in year two if governments show movement toward consolidation as stated by NYS Tax Relief Commission. The report from the Commission contains several measures if enacted that will ease the tax burden of upstate families and businesses.

I proposed the elimination of the third attorney a savings of over \$100,000.00 and using the excess from the Police Item # 7179 Accumulated Pay vacation of 102, 596.00 ( which the bulk will be used to re-instate the( Sanitation inspector/Re-cycling coordinator). Which for the life of me, I do not know how he was left out of the Mayor's 2014 Budget. Finally the reduction of \$20,000 from the vacant Finance Commissioners position.

Lastly using the \$152, 000 from the county for our distribution of the new energy tax revenue. And than any additional funds coming from the General Fund to reduce our tax levy to 1.6% to make our city eligible to qualify for the governors tax relief proposed tax credits.

I feel that if leadership did not do anything to in 2013 towards aggressively waging a citywide campaign on re-cycling or reduction of our tipping fees with consolidation efforts. Leadership did not reach out to our Federal and State leaders for grant opportunities to purchase the two new sanitation trucks and cans to make our sanitation dept. work more efficiently, since we are now in the sanitation business. I have suggested repeatedly through e-mails and at council meetings to organize and make a plan to get these things done.

Sitting back and watching time go by thinking that time will solve our problems is reckless behavior especially with a city that is failing financially.

Being a leader is more than attending and running meetings. You must educate, innovate and have creative ideas to solve problems.

Sometimes you may have to make tough and unpopular decisions.

Our city needs a designated person on staff part time whose sole job is to write and seek out grant opportunities. We need managers who can manage with less and get the job done.

It is a disgrace to have a dirty, filthy, crime infested city. And to think that we will attract new middle –class families to live, educate and raise their children.

We do not need bigger or more, we need better.

With this being my last Common Council meeting, I wish the city well and hope that moving forward in 2014 that the public choose wisely those that they pick for leadership, and are cautious not to alienate those who do not fit their mold.

Unless you will run the risk of getting, stagnate, incompetent leaders who will further run this city into the ground. Thank You.

**Councilmember Perry:** I too, oppose this budget. The reason is that I cannot see a whole lot of difference between the two budgets, except for the tax levy. I would like to have seen the tax cap stay the same so we could benefit from the proposed money that would be coming from the State eventually, when that materialized. I don't like the idea of the parking meters. I looked at the parking meters today, on Market Street. The money the parking meters will bring in is uncertain. The County took away \$2 million from the City, so the \$152,000 is like giving us crumbs, which will not be of much help. I want it to be known that I oppose the parking meters and oppose the tax levy at this point. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

## **VII. ORDINANCES AND LOCAL LAWS:**

### **2. A motion was made by Councilmember Solomon and seconded by Councilmember Boyd**

**Councilmember Boyd:** this is a resolution I've been asking for since January of this year, 2013 to have the User Fee be placed on the tax bill for our taxpayers. This way, they can write it off on their income taxes and it would be beneficial for the City, so this way we don't have a separate bill; that everyone would have to pay their Sanitation User fees that were on their tax bill.

### **LOCAL LAW NO. 4 OF 2013**

#### **LOCAL LAW AMENDING CHAPTER 9, ARTICLE V OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF POUGHKEEPSIE BY ADDING A NEW COLLECTION PROCEDURE FOR THE SOLID WASTE COLLECTION FEE.**

#### **INTRODUCED BY COUNCILMEMBER BOYD**

**BE IT ENACTED**, by the Common Council of the City of Poughkeepsie of the County of Dutchess as follows:

#### **Section 1. LEGISLATIVE INTENT**

It is the intent of this local law to change the collection procedure for the solid waste collection fee. The City of Poughkeepsie has experienced a high rate of uncollected sold

waste collection fees and has determined that the current system of billing responsible parties quarterly is confusing, cumbersome and results in a high non-payment rate. Alternatively, the payment of taxes is much higher. The City of Poughkeepsie is desirous of adding the solid waste collection fee as a separate item on the annual tax bill to help the collection rate.

**Section 2. Section 9-68 of Chapter 9, Article V of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Poughkeepsie entitled “Solid Waste Collection Fee” is amended by the following additions and Deletions**

**Section 9-68 Solid Waste Collection Fees**

(a) Annual Determination of Fees:

The Mayor as a part of the budget process shall propose the fees charged by the City for solid waste collection and disposal services annually. A schedule of the annual collection fees shall be approved by the City Common Council by resolution and maintained on file in the Commissioner’s office and the office of the City Clerk.

**(b) Billing of solid waste collection fee. The Commissioner of Finance shall bill and collect the solid waste collection fee from the responsible property owner. The solid waste collection fee shall be due and payable on January 1, however, without penalty, a responsible property owner may elect to pay the solid waste collection fee in quarterly installments with 25% payable on or before April 30, 25% payable on or before July 31<sup>st</sup>, 25% payable on or before October 31<sup>st</sup> and 25% payable on or before December 1. The solid waste user fee shall be billed for each calendar year as a separate line item on an annual real property tax bill for each assessed property subject to this Article.**

**(C) Interest on unpaid residential refuse fees. If a residential refuse fee for each improved real property is not timely paid when due, interest shall accrue on such unpaid amount at the rate of 2% per calendar month or portion thereof, computed from the original due date until paid in full, and shall be collected at the time of payment or enforcement of such solid waste collection fee.**

**(d) Levy of and lien on unpaid solid waste collection fee. If a residential solid waste collection fee including interest thereon, is not fully paid on or before, December 1 of the calendar year for which originally billed, the unpaid amount shall become a lien as of January 1 of the next succeeding year and shall accrue additional interest, be collected, and be enforced in the same manner and at the same time as provided by law for City taxes due in such next succeeding year.**

**(be)** Exemptions:

(1) Any person who because of age or disability shall qualify for exemption from City real property taxes based on an enhanced star exemption or low income tax exemption, shall be charged a reduced fee for solid waste collection and disposal services in the total sum of \$100.00 annually.

(2) Any residential user eligible for STAR tax exemption from City real property taxes, upon demonstrating that only one unit of a two or three unit residential parcel is occupied as their residents, all other units being permanently vacant, after certification to the Commissioner and inspection, pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Commissioner and approved by resolution of the City Common Council, shall pay only the annual base unit fee.

**(ef)** For Profit Mixed Residential, Multi-Residential and Commercial Users:

The annual fee shall be based upon that user's proportional share of the cost of waste collection services not funded by *ad valorem* tax, the formula for which shall be determined annually by the Commissioner, recommended to the Mayor, and adopted by resolution of the City Common Council. The Commissioner, consistent with the formula adopted by the City Common Council, is authorized to negotiate fees pursuant to Section 9-64(g) of this Chapter, based on volume and time requirements for collegian, provided that such fees shall in all instances cover at a minimum the costs of providing the service.

**(dg)** Not-For-Profit Institutional Users:

The annual fee shall be based upon that user's proportional share of the total cost of waste collection services, the formula for which shall be determined annually by the Commissioner, recommended by the Mayor, and adopted by resolution of the City Common Council. The Commissioner, consistent with the formula adopted by the City Common Council, is authorized to negotiate fees pursuant to Section 9-64(g) of this Chapter, based on volume and time requirements for collection, provided that such fees shall in all instances cover at a minimum the costs of providing the service.

**Section 3. SEVERABILITY**

If any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section or part of this Local Law or the application thereof to any person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity or circumstance shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unconstitutional, such order or judgment shall not affect, impair, effect or invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section or part of this law or in its application to the person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity or circumstance directly involved in the controversy in which such order or judgment shall be rendered.

**Section 4. EFFECTIVE DATE**

This local law shall take effect immediately upon filing with the Secretary of State.

**SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER SOLOMON**

LL-13-4			Yes/Aye	No/Nay	Abstain	Absent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accepted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Tabled	Councilmember Herman	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Rich	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Perry	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Johnson	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Parise	Voter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Boyd	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Councilmember Solomon	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Chairman Mallory	Voter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**X. ADJOURNMENT:**

A motion was made by **Councilmember Solomon** and **Councilmember Boyd** seconded the motion to adjourn the meeting at 6:55 p.m.

**Dated: February 10, 2014**

I hereby certify that this true and correct copy of the Minutes of the Special Common Council Meeting held on Thursday, December 19, 2013 at 6:30 p.m.

**Respectfully submitted,**

**Deanne L. Flynn  
City Chamberlain**



**SPECIAL MEETING OF THE  
COMMON COUNCIL**  
Common Council Chambers  
Thursday, December 19, 2013  
6:30 p.m.

**I. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:**

**ROLL CALL**

**II. READING OF ITEMS by the City Chamberlain of any resolutions not listed on the printed agenda.**

**IV. MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS:**

- 1. FROM CHAIRMAN MALLORY, Resolution R13-92, adopting the 2014 Budget with amendments.**

**V. ORDINANCES AND LOCAL LAWS:**

- 1. FROM CHAIRMAN MALLORY, Local Law Ll-13-4, approving amendments to the Sanitation Bills.**

**XI. ADJOURNMENT:**